

UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(6) Kevin Shipp To (b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6) (b)(6)
cc
bcc
06/19/2009 04:17 PM Subject [AIN] Manuscript for Pre-publication Review - [Link to Attachment(s)]
Please respond to Kevin Shipp (b)(6)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Attached please find my book manuscript for pre-publication review. Also attached is a letter describing the open sources I used for research on the bulk of the manuscript.

Thanks for your help,

Kevin Shipp

(b)(3) NatSecAct

UNCLASSIFIED

June 15 2009

To whom it may concern,

Attached is the book manuscript I have written and submit to your office for pre-publication review. I retired from the Agency as an overt Officer in March 2002.

I used extensive open source materials for the majority of the chapters of this book, with the exception of chapters relating to my personal experience. In addition, I conducted extensive open source research on all of the material (e.g., the Hanssen case, Ames case, Al Qaeda, terrorist attacks against the US, etc.) The following is a list of those open source references:

The Intelligence Community – Richelson
Legacy of Ashes – Weiner
Inside Al Qaeda - Gunaratna
See No Evil – Baer
CIA, Inc. – Rustmann
Veil: The Secret Wars of the CIA – Woodward
Piercing the Veil of Secrecy – Brookner
Gatekeeper – Sullivan
Failure of Intelligence – Goodman
Multiple internet news reports

Thanks for your assistance.

Kevin Shipp

Attachment: Quote from US v. Marchetti regarding statute on pre-publication review

Attachment

Procedures for Review

Of Nonofficial Publications and Oral Presentations by Employees, Former Employees, and Others

Quotes:

US v. Marchetti

“Because we are dealing with prior restraint upon speech, we think that the CIA must act promptly to approve or disapprove any material which may be submitted to it by Marchetti. Undue delay would impair the reasonableness of the restraint, and that reasonableness is to be maintained if the restraint is to be enforced. We should think that, in all events, the maximum period for responding after the submission of material for approval should not exceed thirty days.”

“The Department of Justice has since adopted and imposed the 30-day rule as the standard. The processing time begins on the day a manuscript is received by the PRB. Because administrative processing takes additional time, it is essential that reviewers adhere closely to assigned deadlines.”

“When reviewing the manuscript by former employees, the reviewer may recommend deletion of material from a manuscript only when the disclosure of the information reasonably could be expected to damage national security. The damage must be reasonably specific and must flow logically from the unique circumstances of the disclosure proposed by the author. _____ prohibits deletion of material solely because statements may be embarrassing or critical of the Agency.”